

References

1. Albright, J. (2016). The #Election2016 micro-propaganda machine. *Medium*, 18 November. Retrieved from <https://medium.com/@d1gi/the-election2016-micro-propaganda-machine-383449cc1fba#.nax547fvh>.
2. Alicke, M. D., & Govorun, O. (2005). The Better-Than-Average Effect. In M. D. Alicke, D. A. Dunning, & J. I. Krueger (Eds.), *Studies in self and identity. The Self in Social Judgment* (pp. 85-106). New York, NY, US: Psychology Press.
3. Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31, 211-236.

4. Bakir, V., & McStay, A. (2018). Fake news and the economy of emotions: Problems, causes, solutions. *Digital Journalism*, 6, 154-175.
5. Banning, S. A. (2001). Do you see what I see? Third-person effects on public communication through self-esteem, social stigma, and product use. *Mass Communication and Society*, 4(2), 127-147.
6. Baum, M. A. (2003). *Soft news goes to war: Public opinion and American foreign policy in the new media age*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
7. Baumgartner, J., & Morris, J. (2008). One "Nation," under Stephen? The effects of the Colbert Report on American youth. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 52, 622-643.
8. Baumgartner, J., & Morris, J. S. (2006). The daily show effect: Candidate evaluations, efficacy, and American youth. *American Politics Research*, 34, 341-367.
9. Beavers, S. L. (2011). Getting political science in on the joke: Using the daily show and other comedy to teach politics. *Political Science and Politics*, 44, 415-419.
10. Benesch, C. (2012). An Empirical Analysis of the Gender Gap in News Consumption. *Journal of Media Economics*, 25(3), 147-167.
11. Bennett, W. L. & Livingston, S. (2018). The disinformation order: Disruptive communication and the decline of democratic institutions. *European Journal of Communication*, 33(2), 122-139.
12. Boyle, M. P., McLeod, D. M., & Rojas, H. (2008). The role of ego enhancement and perceived message exposure in third-person judgments concerning violent video games. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 52, 165-185.
13. Brosius, H., & Engel, D. (1996). The causes of third-person effects: Unrealistic optimism, impersonal impact, or generalized negative attitudes towards media influence? *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 8, 142-162.
14. Calvert, C. (2017). Fake News, Free Speech, & the Third-Person Effect: I'm No Fool, But Others Are. *The Wake Forest Law Review*. Serving Humanity Through the Pursuit of Knowledge. Retrieved from <http://wakeforestlawreview.com/2017/02/fake-news-free-speech-the-third-person-effect-im-no-fool-but-others-are/>
15. Chapin, J. (2000). Third-person perception and optimistic bias among urban minority at-risk youth. *Communication Research*, 27, 51-81.
16. Cohen, J., & Davis, R. (1991). Third-person effects and the differential impact in negative political advertising. *Journalism Quarterly*, 68, 680-688.
17. Cohen, J., Mutz, D., Price, V., & Gunther, A. C. (1988). Perceived impact of defamation: An experiment on third-person effects. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 52, 161-173.
18. David, P., & Johnson, M. A. (1998). The role of self in third-person effects about body image. *Journal of Communication*, 48(4), 37-58.
19. Davison, W. P. (1983). The third-person effect in communication. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 47, 1-15.
20. Davison, W. P. (1996). The third-person effect revisited. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 8, 113-119.
21. Duck, J. M., Hogg, M. A., & Terry, D. J. (1995). Me, us, and them: Political identification and the third person effect in the 1993 Australian federal election. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 25, 195-215.

22. Emery, D. (2018). Don't be fooled! A guide to fake news websites. *ThoughtCo*. Retrieved from <https://www.thoughtco.com/guide-to-fake-news-websites-3298824>
23. Eveland, W. P. & McLeod, D. M. (1999). The effect of social desirability on perceived media impact: Implications for third-person perceptions. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 11, 315-333.
24. Eveland, W. P., Nathanson, A. I., Detenber, B. H., & McLeod, D. M. (1999). Rethinking the social distance corollary: Perceived likelihood of exposure and the third-person perception. *Communication Research*, 26, 275-302.
25. Frank, R. (2015). Caveat lector: Fake news as folklore. *Journal of American Folklore*, 128(509), 315-332, 370.
26. Glaser A (2017) Apple CEO Tim Cook says fake news is "killing people's minds" and tech needs to launch a counterattack. *Recode*, 12 February. Retrieved from <http://www.recode.net/2017/2/12/14591522/apple-ceo-tim-cook-tech-launch-campaign-fake-news-fact-check>
27. Golan, G. J., Banning, S. A., & Lundy, L. (2008). Likelihood to Vote, Candidate Choice, and the Third-Person Effect. Behavioral Implications of Political Advertising in the 2004 Presidential Election. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 52(2), 278-290.
28. Gunther, A. C. (1991). What we think others think: Cause and consequence in the third-person effect. *Communication Research*, 18, 355-372.
29. Gunther, A. C. (1995). Overrating the X-rating: The third-person perception and support for censorship of pornography. *Journal of Communication*, 45(1), 27-38.
30. Gunther, A., & Mundy, P. (1993). Biased optimism and the third-person effects. *Journalism Quarterly*, 70, 58-67.
31. Gunther, A., & Storey, D. (2003). The influence of presumed influence. *Journal of Communication*, 53, 199-215.
32. Gunther, A., & Thorson, E. (1992). Perceived persuasive effects of product commercials and public service announcements: Third person effects in new domains. *Communication Research*, 19, 574-596.
33. Guo, L. & Vargo, C. (2018). "Fake News" and Emerging Online Media Ecosystem: An Integrated Intermedia Agenda-Setting Analysis of the 2016 U.S. Presidential Election". *Communication Research*, 1-23.
34. Helweg-Larsen, M. & Shepperd, J. A. (2001). Do Moderators of the Optimistic Bias Affect Personal or Target Risk Estimates? A Review of the Literature. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(1), 74 - 95.
35. Hoffner, C., & Buchanan, M. (2002). Parents' responses to television violence: The third-person perception, parental mediation, and support for censorship. *Media Psychology*, 4, 231-252.
36. Hollander, B. A. (1995). The new news and the 1992 presidential campaign: Perceived versus actual political knowledge. *Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly*, 72, 786-798.
37. Jang, M. & Kim, J. K. (2018). Third person effects of fake news: Fake news regulation and media literacy interventions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 80, 295-302.

38. Jensen, J., & Hurley, R. (2005). Third-person effects and the environment: Social distance, social desirability, and presumed behavior. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 242-256.
39. Johnson, T., & Kaye, B. (2002). Webbelievability: A path model examining how convenience and reliance predict online credibility. *Journalism and Mass Communication Quarterly*, 79, 619-642.
40. Kepplinger, H. M. (2008). Effects of the news media on public opinion. In W. Donsbach & M. W. Traugott (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of public opinion research* (pp. 192-204). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
41. Lee, B., & Tamborini, R. (2005). Third person effect and Internet pornography: The influence of collectivism and Internet self-efficacy. *Journal of Communication*, 55, 292-310.
42. Lim, J. S. & Golan, G. J. (2011). Social Media Activism in Response to the Influence of Political Parody Videos on YouTube. *Communication Research*, 38(5), 710-727.
43. Lo, V. H., & Wei, R. (2002). Third-person effect, gender, and pornography on the Internet. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 46(1), 13-33.
44. Lo, V., Wei, R., & Wu, H. (2010). Examining the first, second, and thirdperson effects on Internet pornography on Taiwanese adolescents: Implications for restriction of pornography. *Asian Journal of Communication*, 20, 90-103.
45. Mangold WG and Faulds DJ (2009) Social media: the new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons* 52(4): 357-365.
46. McIntyre, L. (2018). *Post-Truth*. Cambridge Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
47. McLeod, D. M., Detenber, B. H. & Eveland, W.P., Jr. (2001). Behind the third person effect: Differentiating perceptual processes for self and others. *Journal of Communication*, 51(4), 678-695.
48. McLeod, D. M., Eveland, W. P., Jr., & Nathanson, A. I. (1997). Support for censorship of misogynic rap lyrics: An analysis of the third-person effect. *Communication Research*, 24, 153-174.
49. Meirick, P. C. (2002). Self-enhancement, self-affirmation and threats to selfworth: Three tests of a motivational explanation for first- and thirdperson effects. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 63(6), 2037. (UMI No. 3056339).
50. Meirick, P. (2005). Rethinking the target corollary: The effects of social distance, perceived exposure, and perceived predispositions on first person and third-person perceptions. *Communication Research*, 32, 822-843.
51. Metzger, M. J., Flanagin, A. J., & Medders, R. B. (2010). Social and heuristic approaches to credibility evaluation online. *Journal of Communication*, 60(3), 413-439.
52. Murthy, D., Powell, A. B., Tinati, R., Anstead, N., Carr, L., Halford, S. J., & Weal, M. (2016). Automation, algorithms, and politics | Bots and political influence: A socio-technical investigation of social network capital. *International Journal of Communication*, 10.
53. Nelson, J. L. & Taneja, H. (2018). The small, disloyal fake news audience: The role of audience availability in fake news consumption. *New Media & Society*, 1-18.

54. Neuwirth, K., Frederick, E., & Mayo, C. (2002). Person-effects and heuristic-systematic processing. *Communication Research*, 29, 320-359.
55. Neuwirth, K., & Frederick, E. (2002). Extending the framework of third, first and second-person effects. *Mass Communication and Society*, 5(2), 113- 141.
56. Paek, H. J., Pan, Z. D., Sun, Y., Abisaid, J., & Houden, D. (2005). The third-person perception as social judgment: An exploration of social distance and uncertainty in perceived effects of political attack ads. *Communication Research*, 32, 143-170.
57. Patterson, T. E. (2000). *Doing well and doing good* (Faculty Research Working Paper Series, #RWP01-001). Cambridge, MA: John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University.
58. Perloff, R. (2002). The third-person effect. In J. Bryant & D. Zillmann (Eds.), *Media effects; advances in theory and research* (pp. 489-506). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
59. Pew Research Center (2008). *Where Men and Women Differ in Following the News*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewresearch.org/2008/02/06/where-men-and-women-differ-in-following-the-news/>
60. Price, V., Huang, L. N., & Tewksbury, D. (1997). Third-person effects of news coverage: Orientations toward media. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 74(3), 525-540.
61. Rojas, H., Shah, D. V., & Faber, R. J. (1996). For the good of others: Censorship and the third-person effect. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 8, 163-186.
62. Rojecki, A., & Meraz, S. (2016). Rumors and factitious informational blends: The role of the web in speculative politics. *New Media & Society*, 18, 25-43.
63. Salwen, M. B. (1998). Perceptions of media influence and support for censorship: The third-person effect in the 1996 presidential election. *Communication Research*, 25, 259-285.
64. Salwen, M. B., & Dupagne, M. (2003). News of Y2K and experiencing Y2K: Exploring the relationship between the third-person effect and optimistic bias. *Media Psychology*, 5, 57-82.
65. Scharrer, E., & Leone, R. (2006). I know you are but what am I? Young people's perceptions of varying types of video game influence. *Mass Communication & Society*, 9, 261-286.
66. Shah, D. V., Faber, R. J., & Youn, S. (1999). Susceptibility and severity—Perceptual dimensions underlying the third-person effect. *Communication Research*, 26, 240-267.
67. Sun, Y., Pan, Z., & Shen, L. (2008). Understanding the third-person perception: Evidence from a metaanalysis. *Journal of Communication*, 58, 280-300.
68. Svantesson, D. J. B. & van Caenegem, W. (2017). Is it time for an offence of 'dishonest algorithmic manipulation for electoral gain'?. *Alternative Law Journal*, 42(3), 184-189.
69. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations* (pp. 33-47). Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.

70. Tandoc, E. C., Jr., Lim, Z. W., & Ling, R. (2018). Defining "Fake News": A typology of scholarly definitions. *Digital Journalism*, 6, 137-153.
71. Thorson, E., & Coyle, J. (1984). The third person effect in three genres of commercials: Product and greening ads, and public service announcements. In K. King (Ed.), *Proceedings of the American Academy of Advertising* (pp. 103-112). Athens: University of Georgia.
72. Tiedge, J. T., Silverblatt, A., Havice, H. M., & Rosenfeld, R. (1991). Discrepancy between perceived first-person and perceived third-person mass media effect. *Journalism Quarterly*, 68, 141-154.
73. Tsfati, Y., & Cohen, J. (2004). Object-subject distance and the third person perception. *Media Psychology*, 6, 335-361.
74. Vargo, C. J., Guo, L., & Amazeen, M. (2018). The agenda-setting power of fake news: A big data analysis of the online media landscape from 2014 to 2016. *New Media & Society*, 1-22.
75. Wardle, C. (2017). Fake news: It's complicated. *First Draft News*. Retrieved from <https://firstdraftnews.com/fake-news-complicated/>
76. Wei, R., & Lo, V. H. (2007). Third-person effects of political attack ads in the 2004 U.S. presidential election. *Media Psychology*, 9, 367-388.
77. White, H. A. & Dillon, J. F. (2000). Knowledge about others' reaction to a public service announcement: The impact of self-persuasion and third-person perception. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 77, 788-803.
78. Woolley, S. C. (2016). Automating power: Social bot interference in global politics. *First Monday*, 21.
79. Youn, S., Faber, R., & Shah, D. (2000). Restricting gambling advertising and the third-person effect. *Psychology and Marketing*, 17, 633-649.
80. Zhang, L. (2013). Third-person effect and gender in online gaming. *First Monday*, 18(1). Retrieved from <http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/4157/3385>, accessed July, 20, 2018.
81. Zimdars, M. (2016, November 18). My "fake news list" went viral. But made-up stories are only part of the problem. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/posteverything/wp/2016/11/18/my-fake-news-list-went-viral-but-made-up-stories-are-only-part-of-the-problem/?noredirect=on&utm_term=.d19fa7c1f621
82. Zubiaga, A., Aker, A., Bontcheva, K., Liakata, M., & Procter, R. (2018). *Detection and resolution of rumours in social media: A survey*. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 51(2), 1-36.