

## References

1. Brandtzæg, P. B. (2010). Towards a unified Media-User Typology (MUT): a meta-analysis and review of the research literature on media-user typologies. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26 (5): 940–956.
2. Chen, W., B. Wellman (2004). The Global Digital Divide within and between Countries. *IT and Society*, 1 (7): 39-45.
3. De Haan, J., Huysmans, F. (2002). Differences in time between Internet users and nonusers in the Netherlands. *IT&Society*, 1(2): 67–85.
4. De Haan, J., Huysmans, F., Steyaert, J. (2002). *At Home in a Digital World: Acquiring Digital Skills between Home and School*. The Hague: Social and Cultural Planning Agency.
5. Dewan, S., Riggins, F. J. (2005). The digital divide: current and future research directions. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 6(12): 298–337.
6. DiMaggio, P., Hargittai, E. (2001). From the 'Digital Divide' to 'Digital Inequality': Studying Internet Use As Penetration Increases. <https://www.princeton.edu/~artspol/workpap/WP15%20-%20DiMaggio%2BHargittai.pdf>.
7. ECREA Digital Culture and Communication Conference, Brighton University, UK, 6-7 November.
8. Ellison, N. B., Steinfeld, C., Lampe, C. (2007). The Benefits of Facebook “‘Friends:” Social Capital and College Students’ Use of Online Social Network Sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12, 1143–1168.
9. Fernández-Ardèvol, M., Ivan, L. (2015). Why age is not that important? An aging perspective on computer anxiety. In Z. Zhou & G. Salvendy (Eds.), *Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Design for Aging, First International Conference, ITAP 2015*, Held as Part of HCI International 2015, Los Angeles, CA, USA, August 2-7, 2015. Proceedings, Part I (pp. 189-200). Berlin: Springer.
10. Flanagin, A. (2005). IM online: Instant messaging use among college students. *Communication Research*, 22: 175-187.
11. Friemel, T.N. (2016). The digital divide has grown old: Determinants of a digital divide among seniors. *New media & Society*, 18(2): 313–331.
12. Gatto, S. L., Tak, S. H. (2008). School Computer, Internet, and Email Use Among Older Adults: Benefits and Barriers. *Educational Gerontology*, 34: 800–811.

13. Goldfarb, A., Prince, J. (2008). Internet adoption and usage patterns are different: Implications for the digital divide. *Information Economics and Policy*, 20: 2–15.
14. Gray, V. (2004). "Statistics in Perspective: ITU's Digital Access Index (DAI)." ITU/KADO Symposium on Building Digital Bridges, Busan, Republic of Korea, September 10-11, 2004, <http://www.itu.int/osg/spu/ni/wsisbridges/>
15. Hargittai, E. (2002). "Second-Level Digital Divide: Differences in People's Online Skills." First Monday. [http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issues7\\_4/hargittai](http://www.firstmonday.org/issues/issues7_4/hargittai).
16. INS (2016). Comunicat 5 decembrie. Nivelul de trai al populației. [http://www.insse.ro/cms/sites/default/files/com\\_presa/com\\_pdf/tic\\_r2016.pdf](http://www.insse.ro/cms/sites/default/files/com_presa/com_pdf/tic_r2016.pdf)
17. Ivan, L. (2018). The Adequacy of official statistics for studying older people. The 15th Annual ICA (International Communication Association) Mobile Pre-Conference, 2018, Prague, Czech Republic, 22-24 May.
18. Ivan, L. (2017). The adequacy of official national statistics for public policy on ageing. ECREA Digital Culture and Communication Section Conference, Brighton, University of Brighton, UK, 6-7 November.
19. Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and Gratifications Research. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 37(4): 509–523.
20. Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., Gurevitch, M. (1974). Utilization of Mass Communication by the Individual. In J. G. Blumler & E. Katz (Eds.), *The Uses of Mass Communications: Current Perspectives and Gratification Research* (pp. 1–32). Beverly Hills: Sage.
21. Kaye, H. S. (2000). Computer and Internet Use Among People with Disabilities. *Disability Statistics Report* (13). Washington DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research. <http://dsc.ucsf.edu/>.
22. Krisanic, K. (2008). *Motivations and Impression Management: Predictors of Social Networking Site Use and User Behaviour (Master of Arts)*. University of Missouri-Columbia, USA.
23. Lai, C.-Y., Yang, H.-L. (2014). Determinants and Consequences of Facebook Feature Use. *New Media & Society*, 1–21.
24. LaRose, R., Eastin, M. (2004). A Social Cognitive Explanation of Internet Uses and Gratifications: Toward a New Model of Media Attendance. *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, 48: 358-377.
25. Lee, B., Chen, Y., Hewitt, L. (2011) Age differences in constraints encountered by seniors in their use of computers and the Internet. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(3): 1231–1237.
26. Livingstone, S., Helsper, E. (2007). Gradations in digital inclusion: children, young people and the digital divide. *New Media & Society*, 9: 671–696.
27. McWhorter, R. R. (2010). The emergence of virtual human resource development. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12: 623-631.
28. Minghetti, V., Buhalis D. (2010). Digital Divide in Tourism. *Journal of Travel Research*, 49(3): 267–281.

29. Moon, B. J. (2004). Consumer adoption of the Internet as an information search and product purchase channel: some research hypotheses. *International Journal of Internet Marketing and Advertising*, 1(1): 104-118.
30. Norris, P. (2001). *The Digital Divide: Civic Engagement, Information Poverty, and the Internet Worldwide*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
31. OECD (2001). *Understanding the Digital Divide*. Paris: OECD.
32. Ortega Egea, J.M., Menendez, M.R., Gonzalez, M.V.R. (2007). Diffusion and usage patterns of Internet services in the European Union. *Information Research*, 12(2) Paper 302: <http://informationr.net/ir/12-2/paper302.html>S.
33. Peacock S. E., Künemund, H. (2007). Senior citizens and Internet technology. *European Journal of Ageing*, 4(4): 191-200.
34. Peter, J., Valkenburg, P. M. (2006). Adolescents' Internet use: Testing the "disappearing digital divide" versus the "emerging digital differentiation" approach. *Poetics*, 34: 293-305.
35. Robinson J. P., DiMaggio, P., Hargittai, E. (2003). New social survey perspectives on the digital divide. *IT&Society*, 1(5): 1-22.
36. Schumacher, P., Morahan-Martin, J. (2001). Gender, Internet and computer attitudes and experiences. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 17: 95-110.
37. Soep, E. (2006). Critique: Assessment and the production of learning. *Teachers College Record*, 108(4): 748-777.
38. UN (2017). United Nations. Population Division. *World Population Prospects 2017*. <https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/>
39. Van Deursen, A., Van Dijk, J. (2010). Measuring Internet skills. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 26(10): 891-916.
40. Van Deursen, A., Van Dijk, J. 2014. "The Digital Divide Shifts to Differences in Usage." *New Media & Society*, 16(3): 507-26.
41. Van Dijk, J. (2005). *The Deepening Divide Inequality in the Information Society*. London: Sage Publications.
42. Van Dijk, J. (2006). Digital divide: Research, achievements and shortcomings. *Poetics*, 34: 221-235.
43. Van Dijk, J., (1999). *The Network Society*. Sage, London.
44. Van Dijk, J., Hacker, K. (2003). The digital divide as a complex and dynamic phenomenon. *The Information Society*, 19: 315-326.
45. Van Dijk, J., Hacker, K. (2003). The digital divide as a complex and dynamic phenomenon. *The Information Society*, 19: 315-326.
46. Volman, M., Van Eck E., Van Heemskerk, L., Kuiper, E. (2005). New technologies, new differences: Gender and ethnic differences in pupils' use of ICT in primary and secondary education. *Computers & Education*, 45(1): 35-55.
47. Zickuhr, K. (2013). *Who's Not Online and Why*. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center. <http://pewInternet.org/Reports/2013/Non-Internet-users.aspx>
48. Zillien, N., Hargittai, E. (2009). "Digital Distinction: Status-Specific Types of Internet Usage." *Social Science Quarterly*, 90: 274-91.